

a State to add land to the System pursuant to Section 4(c).

On November 26, 1991, the State of Florida contacted the Service regarding the addition of several properties to the System, including a portion of Ocean Reef community that the State planned to purchase under its Conservation and Recreational Lands Program. The boundary for North Key Largo Unit FL-35, as originally established under the CBIA, excluded all of the Ocean Reef community from the System. Based on the State's representation that it intended to purchase the property, the Service added the Ocean Reef parcel to Unit FL-35 of the System, as described in the Service response to the State dated April 24, 1992 and the **Federal Register** notice published November 15, 1993 (58 FR 60288-60301).

The State subsequently decided against the purchase of the Ocean Reef parcel. If the State had not based its request for a boundary change for this unit on its plan to purchase the property, the Service would not have revised the boundary, and the original CBIA boundary for Unit FL-35 as approved by Congress would still be in effect. As a result of the State's decision not to purchase the property, the Service mistakenly added private property to the System, which is beyond the scope of its authority under Section 4(e) of the CBIA.

The Service stresses that it is not re-opening the process under Section 4(c) of the CBIA that allowed States to elect, within 18 months of enactment of the CBIA, to add State-owned property to the System. This boundary modification of Unit FL-35 instead corrects an error made earlier in the administrative process. This modification will return the boundary for North Key Largo Unit FL-35 to its original October 24, 1990, location for that portion of the unit in the vicinity of the Ocean Reef Harbor Course South development.

Copies of the revised System map for this unit are currently being printed. Upon completion of printing, copies of the map will be filed with the House of Representatives Committee on Resources and the Committee on Banking and Financial Services, and the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works. Copies will also be distributed to the Chief Executive Officer (or representative) of each appropriate Federal, State, or local agency having jurisdiction over the area in which the modified unit is located. Copies of the map are also available for inspection at the following Service headquarters, regional, and field offices:

Washington Office

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat Conservation, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive Room 400, Arlington, Virginia 22203, (703) 358-2201.

Regional Office

Region 4, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1875 Century Blvd., Atlanta, Georgia 30345, (404) 679-7125.

Field Office

Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1360 U.S. Highway 1, #5, Vero Beach, FL 32961, (561) 562-3909.

Dated: October 14, 1997.

John G. Rogers,

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 97-28766 Filed 10-29-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Notice of Receipt of Application for Endangered Species Permit

The following applicants have applied for permits to conduct certain activities with endangered species. This notice is provided pursuant to Section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*):

PRT-835659

Applicant: Annette Y. Taylor, Dial Cordy, Wetland and Environmental Services, Inc., Wilmington, North Carolina

The applicant requests authorization to take (salvage dead shells, and harass during surveys) the dwarf wedge mussel, *Alasmidonta heterodon*, and the Tar River spiny mussel, *Elliptio steinstansana*, throughout their ranges in North Carolina, for the purpose of enhancement of survival of the species. PRT-801592

Applicant: Kent S. Karriker, CZR Incorporated, Wilmington, North Carolina.

The applicant requests authorization to take (salvage dead shells, and harass during surveys) the dwarf wedge mussel, *Alasmidonta heterodon*, and the Tar River spiny mussel, *Elliptio steinstansana*, throughout their ranges in North Carolina, for the purpose of enhancement of survival of the species. This is a renewal and modification of previously authorized activities.

Written data or comments on these applications should be submitted to: Regional Permit Biologist, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1875 Century Boulevard, Suite 200, Atlanta, Georgia

30345. All data and comments must be received by December 1, 1997.

Documents and other information submitted with this application are available for review, subject to the requirements of the Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act, by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents to the following office within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1875 Century Boulevard, Suite 200, Atlanta, Georgia 30345 (Attn: David Dell, Permit Biologist). Telephone: 404/679-7313; Fax: 404/679-7081.

Dated: October 22, 1997.

H. Dale Hall,

Acting Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 97-28741 Filed 10-29-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Geological Survey

Request for Public Comments on Information Collection to be Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

A request extending the collection of information listed below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of the proposed collection of information and related forms may be obtained by contacting the Bureau's Clearance Officer at the phone number listed below. Comments and suggestions on the requirement should be made within 60 days directly to the Bureau Clearance Officer, U.S. Geological Survey, 807 National Center, Reston, VA 20192.

As required by OMB regulations at 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), the U.S. Geological Survey solicits specific public comments regarding the proposed information collection as to:

1. Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the bureau, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. The accuracy of the bureau's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. The utility, quality, and clarity of the information to be collected; and,
4. How to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated electronic,